Johnes Disease Control in the Dairy Cow



Know your Johne's status and how to control it



How Common is Johne's Disease in the UK?

- VLA, SAC, AFBNI survey on 120 farms in 2006
 - 65% of herds had 1+ positives
 - 37% of all herds surveyed knew they had the disease already
 - NML internal analysis of over 900 30-cow screens in 2011 found one or more positive result in 68.9%
 - Other data also suggests the disease situation has got worse







The Iceberg Concept

- For every animal that develops clinical signs
 - there will be 7 to 10 animals shedding the infectious agent
 - there will be more animals in the silent period of infection
- In heavily infected herds around 25% of animals are faecal culture positive
- No more than half or a third of infected animals will be detected by lab tests on a single occasion.



The Iceberg Concept





Why Control?

- Annual incidence of mastitis around 65/cases/100 cows/year
- Lameness prevalence ?? 20%
- Conception rate 37% and falling,
- Cull rates

"We've got enough problemsWhy Bother?"



Is there a Cost Benefit?



Inaction in the long term will cost more than action!



Develop a farm plan with your vet

Select the most appropriate strategy for farm by assessing factors such as:

- Current **Prevalence** of Johne's on the farm
- **Biosecurity** risk associated with the farm (e.g. buying in of stock)
- Bio-containment risk associated with the farm (e.g. risk of spread within the holding)
- Resources (capital and human)
- Aspiration (eg desire to eliminate Johne's completely or simply contain the disease at manageable levels)



Know Your Johne's Disease Status

Establish a base status of the herd

- 1. A herd-level test to provide an indication of prevalence
- 2. An assessment of the risk of entry of the disease (Biosecurity)
- 3. An assessment of the risk of spread of the disease (Biocontainment)



Know Your Johne's Disease Status

Repeated whole herd screens

Whole herd individual sample screens

Targeted cow screen

Bulk milk ELISA

Reliability

Johne's disease is complex and not always easy to detect

> The more samples you take, the more reliable the results



Question 1

What do you think is the most important source of transmission of infection of Johnes in the herd?

- Faecal contaminated material
- Trans-placental during pregnancy
- Bacteria excreted directly in the milk and colostrum
- Aerosol route



Breaking the Johne's cycle is Key



Johne's infection is mainly caused by calves ingesting faeces from contaminated bedding, udders, teats or on dirty buckets of colostrum or milk. Much less commonly the disease can be acquired in the womb or later in life.

80% of Johne's infections occur within the first month of life



1. Biosecurity Protect and Monitor





1. Biosecurity Protect and Monitor

- For herds who have completed appropriate screening and have no evidence of disease
 - A plan to protect the herd from disease entry
 Monitor through appropriate screening tests
 e.g. repeated cow screens / whole herd screens

Buyer Beware (Testing)



2. Improved Farm Management

- Works by reducing the risk of spread to calves using husbandry measures alone
- Requires dedication and labour









2. Improved Farm Management

- Prevent ingestion of faeces by all animals
 - Particularly the young ones
 - Keep faeces out of feed
- Do this by:
 - Colostrum /milk management
 - Calf management
 - Cleaning and disinfection
- Calving pen
 - Clean and dry
- Separating cows from calves





Question 2

What form of testing have you performed within the herd in the last year?

- None
- Bulk Milk
- 30 cow screen
- Cull cow testing
- Whole herd testing via milk /blood



3. Improved Farm Management, risk assessment and strategic testing

- Using a testing program in conjunction with IFM will help identify heavy shedding or infectious cows
- Removing high risk cows allows IFM to work better
- Use Risk Based Planning
- For Example, test positive cattle are not allowed to enter the maternity areas





4. Improved Farm Management, Test and cull

- Suitable for low prevalence herds wanting to quickly remove infected animals from the herd BEFORE they get chance to spread Johne's
- Work with your vet to adopt a biocontainment & IFM policy in addition to solely culling test positives
- May be high cost of control with slow progress without IFM...



Risk Based Control With Regular Testing

Advantages

- Hassle free testing through milk recorded sample / blood testing
- Regular monitoring allowing more accurate timely culling
- Ability to manage 90% of the herd normally

Disadvantages

- Requires milk recording or regular bleeding
- There is no "gold standard" test available, so false positives / negatives may be culled
- Need to mark and identify test positive cattle and calve in isolation from main herd



5. Breed to a terminal sire

- In herds where the level of infection means there is a high risk of transmission to youngstock but barriers to adopting other strategies
- If infection levels high in home bred replacements, do purchased animals represent a lower risk?
- Purchase replacements from lower risk herds
- Breed all cows to terminal sire until infection controlled



6. Firebreak vaccination

- A short term option for high risk or high prevalence herds to buy some time
- Delays the onset of clinical signs but does not eliminate excretion of MAP
- Vaccinated animals will test positive
 - May make selling animals more difficult
 - Makes interpretation of tests difficult
- Cross reacts with bTB test and increases possibility of false positive bTB reactors
- Vaccinated stock should be viewed as infected rather than free of disease; what is next step?



Control

- Has to be a team approach.
- All staff need to know polices and understand importance
- Educate
- Revisit don't assume it's all working fine





Case Study 1 Crosby Grange Farm



Herd Performance

Name: H B SMITH & SON									
KF	PIs at a glar	nce for last milk recording24/03/2015	tails	Explain	Prin	nt Graph			
da	te:	Benchmark g Bishopton: All Recorded Herds View	KPI Grap	Sélect Graph		•			
	KPIs	'Waret' 'Reet'	'Worst'	You	'Rest'	Mean			
	Milk/Cow/Year of life		3191	5821	6330	4869			
	Milk/Cow/Year		4676	11467	11467	8154			
	Lactation Yield		5744	10741	11243	8703			
	305 Day yield		4885	9938	10190	7750			
	Protein/Cow/Year		149	382	382	268			
	Fat/Covv/Year		181	501	501	328			
	Ave. Protein %		3.12	3.33	4.06	3.3			
	Ave. Fat %		3.35	4.37	5.52	4.03			
	Mean Parity		2.23	2.33	4.73	2.91			
	Calv. Interval<385 %		16.81	63.41	63.41	42.52			
	Ave. Lactation length		399	303	267	318			
	Ave. SCC		448	150	89	201			
	%Cows in Parity 1		44.03	34.92	8.33	28.64			
	Age 1st Calving		1379	920	777	902			
	Ave. Calving interval		513	375	375	414			
	Ave. Dry days		85	45	45	62			
	Culling + Death %		110	34	15	34			
		Low High	Low	You	High	Mean			
	Ave. No. Cows		58	342	455	178			



High Milk Production



Herd Performance Culling at 34%



Na	me: r	H B SMITH & SON							1 3/	Sec. If the	
KF	PIs at a glar	nce for last	milk re	cording 24/0	03/2015		KPI det	ails			1 20
ua	ite:		Benchr	mark g Bishopton: All	Recorded Herds	•	View	KPI Grap	Sélect Grapi	h	•
A MARK			1								
TI	same and						'Best'	'Worst'	You	'Best'	Mean
		「大陸谷」						3191	5821	6330	4869
TITLE								4676	11467	11467	8154
		354 MINT						5744	10741	11243	8703
	HANK							4885	9938	10190	7750
		all all	1.00					149	382	382	268
	11 1							181	501	501	328
		ALC: NO	1420					3.12	3.33	4.06	3.3
			a the					3.35	4.37	5.52	4.03
	12 1							2.23	2.33	4.73	2.91
page at	Carl State							16.81	63.41	63.41	42.52
2.4											
	Ave. Lactation length							399	303	267	318
	Ave. SCC							448	150	89	201
	%Cows in Parity 1							44.03	34.92	8.33	28.64
	Age 1st Calving							1379	920	777	902
	Ave. Calving interval							513	375	375	414
	Ave. Dry days							85	45	45	62
	Culling + Death %							110	34	15	34
		Low					High	Low	You	High	Mean
	Arrest Man Charles							20	240	455	470



1.Eliminate the source of Infection Herd Monitoring

Line No.	Ear Tag	ELISA 24/03/2015	ELISA 22/06/2015	Days in Milk*	Milk Yield (kg)*	Parity	Milk Yield Drop	Predicted Calving Date	Infection Group on 22/06/2015	
921	123524701921	87.03	97.25	125	42.10	5	Very Likely		J5	!
992	123524101992	40.99	55.96	269	12.30	4	Very Likely	08/08/2015	J5	!
2054	123524702054	51.75		455	13.00	4	Very Likely	29/06/2015	J5	!
2210	123524202210	-	128.95	80	32.40	4	Very Likely		J5	!
2275	123524402275	48.77	61.67	188	41.50	4	Very Likely	11/02/2016	J5	!
2352	123524402352	29.05	35.26	302	26.60	3	Very Likely	21/09/2015	J5	!
2702	123524402702	29.73	30.81	134	40.00	3	Very Likely		J5	!
2704	123524602704	75.88	23.18	50	43.50	3	Very Likely	20/03/2016	J5	!
2929	123524702929	76.84	109.84	306	25.20	1	Very Likely	02/11/2015	J5	!
2951	123524102951		81.92	77	14.50	2	Very Likely		J5	!
3029	123524203029	91.17	109.79	252	30.30	1	Very Likely	07/12/2015	J5	!

'RED' cows (High-risk cows) potentially culled prior to next calving (start with cows with high values). NO COLOSTRUM/MILK USED FOR CALVES

'YELLOW' cows (High-risk cows) require good hygiene around calving. Cull only if few high-risk cows. NO COLOSTRUM/MILK USED FOR CALVES







Management Decisions Calving time

Line No.	Ear Tag	ELISA 24/03/2015	ELISA 22/06/2015	Days in Milk*	Milk Yield (kg)*	Parity	Milk Yield Drop	Predicted Calving Date	Infection Group on 22/06/2015	
3058	123524303058	44.59	43.30	274	22.60	1	Very Likely	04/09/2015	J5	!
3102	123524503102	111.35	125.32	258	23.00	1	Very Likely	21/09/2015	J5	!
3119	123524103119	74.19	80.53	204	28.00	1	Very Likely	04/01/2016	J5	!
3159	123524603159	83.23	95.64	249	33.90	1	Very Likely	16/10/2015	J5	!
2000	123524202000	36.19		291	25.10	4	Likely	18/07/2015	J4	?
2038	123524502038		51.86	81	52.30	5	Likely	05/03/2016	J4	?
2111	123524102111	7.91	30.19	539	27.40	3	Likely		J4	?
2278	123524702278	17.77	41.08	262	26.70	4	Likely	16/08/2015	J4	?
2429	123524402429	42.76		447	10.90	3	Likely	05/08/2015	J4	?
2642	123524702642	21.38	34.11	314	17.50	2	Likely	07/08/2015	J4	?
2833	123524202833	3.32	52.96	298	31.10	2	Likely	27/09/2015	J4	?

'RED' cows (High-risk cows) potentially culled prior to next calving (start with cows with high values). NO COLOSTRUM/MILK USED FOR CALVES

'YELLOW' cows (High-risk cows) require good hygiene around calving. Cull only if few high-risk cows. NO COLOSTRUM/MILK USED FOR CALVES





Good Fertility Homebred Replacements



2.Prevent New Infections Protecting Calves

Separate calving/maternity area for low vs high risk cows



Hygienic colostrum management





Case Study 2 Chalk Lodge





Johne's disease history -before 2014

- Restocked 2001 post FMD
- 3 main herds bought
- Started seeing clinical cases 2004
- Incidence increased to 1 per month
- Commercial herd increasing size to 680+ (2015)
- 2008 Opportunity to bleed whole herd as part of an SAC BVD study
- Joined PCHS 2010
- Paraban Champion Farm



Cows Bled Annually since 2008 and Identified







New Calf Shed and Pasteuriser – 2009





New calving pens built - 2011





Whole herd blood test results







A cluster of animals infected previously can emerge on testing





Key Messages from a Farmer

- It is a challenging disease so be realistic regarding rate of progress:
 - The immune response and tests are not perfect
 - Events 3+ years ago affect outcomes
 - It is an iceberg disease only see the tip
 - It is hard to keep motivating staff
- Attention to detail needed but some quick wins
- Eradication in expanding commercial herd unlikely
- Farmers must monitor & manage their status & risks
- Everyone in the industry has to be responsible



Key Messages to Control Johne's Disease

- 1.Know Your Status-Eliminate the source of infection
- 2.Break The Link-Prevent new calf infections
- 3.Agree a Herd Specific Risk Management Strategy with your Vet

See Ontario Johne's Whiteboard:





Johne's Disease in Canadian Dairy Herds: What it means for farmers

www.actionjohnesuk.org





Know your Johne's stat how to control it



Johne's Disease is a chronic, debilitating and irreversible infection of cattle which is common in many herds. While as few as 1 to 5% of const in any year will show clinical signs of scour or wasting, more of the herd will nevertheless be affected and suffer reduced output. Animals with Johne's Disease are likely to be called earlier, and are also likely to be affected by other conditions, including chronic mastrix, lameness, and high somatic cell counts.

Work with your vet to assess infection risk and know your herd Johne's Disease status

obox's Disease is complex and expert veterinary advice is tal to make sure you take the most cost effective steps records managing the infection in your herd.

hork with your wet to carry out a risk assessment as part of sur hord health plan. It is important to notes that while I/3 dairy hords do not have shows. Dismass presents on their must shey still need a nabust plan in place to keep it out. Enting will help determine your herd's Johne's Disease attast the more samples you take, the mene accurate will be indication of your herd's Johne's Disease tatast popular method of initial Johne's Disease stratering in the signal of 20 core acress using blood or milk fram cores over years of age with historics of power level, source likes, or gh comative cell counts. Unlike other diseases, but milk tating is not sensitive enough to detext infection at the sign rate plange with the disease and gh it is important that you reduce them by adopting an flection within your head.

Understanding the spread of Johne's between animals



Johne's infection is mainly caused by calves ingesting dung through contaminated bedding, udders, tests or on dirty buckets of colostrum or milk. Much less commonly the disease can be acquired in the womb or later in life.

80% of Johne's infections occur within the first month of life

www.actionjohnesuk.org



Repeated whole herd

screens

Whole herd individual

ample screens

30 cow targeted

Bulk milk ELISA

The more frequent the testing.

the better the understanding of

Johne's Disease

Remember:

Be realistic about the timescale and what you can achieve: even when positive steps are fully implemented is can take 4.5 years to see significant programs to Johon's Disease control on farm – but the improvements in your herd's general health will be eventh the steps you take and will be evident much more quickly. • Events were 3 years age after Johon's Outcomes today.

Is important that all staff understand have this disease works and how they can manage it on farm series of targets will help beep a sense of achievement as you progress with managing ashne's sease on your farm.

e study:

ever, Donk Lodge Farm bdge Farm is a 800 Hobstein Prisalan hard in Cambria on sare. Having re-exacted his herd in 2005 fram 5 sources port PMD, arted to see clinical cases emerge in 2008, with incidences ing to one per month.

, he got the opportunity to blace his whole hard so part of an D study, which also enabled the identification of positives for Disease. Working with his vers as part of the Paraban⁺ project, entified and implemented a risk based control strategy.

measures:

ex call shed built in Autumn 2008 ex patteriser purchased in Spring 2009 when smatched in third repeating heller calves sives field sam's calculation if Johns's low risk, or fiel from unother short's Disease low risk cow, then field patternised colloctrum for event 36 hears.

ew cabing gens built in August 2011 nimels put into role groups and managed according to risk (free mediate culling of high risk animals to observation of animals 1 a negative blood text)

wing a thorough Johne's control programme with his vet, the herd health at Chalk Farm has greatly improved. There have a clinical cases for the past 2 years and positive animals are

while they still have value. All farm staff have a clear understanding of what to do and how to do it team are very aware of bought in and vascinated animals.

Annexes Televise an even a designed by TBUC Spiterology & persons & houses by Gaulty Mast Sectors and the Section funding Second.

in Johne's is supported by the following organisations (please also see our website)







